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An integral part of ESL learning is composition writing. Raimes (1983) has this to say with regard to including writing as a part of our second language syllabus:

"First, writing reinforces the grammatical structures, idioms and vocabulary that we have been teaching our students. Second, when our students write, they also have a chance to be adventurous with the language, to go beyond what they have just learned to say, to take risks"

(Raimes, 1983: p.3)

Thus when we teach composition, we are actually reinforcing grammatical structures which the students have learned in class. For example, the simple past tense is taught and practised in class for the first week and the next week a topic is given to the students which requires them to use the simple past e.g. describing an accident. What exactly happens is that a simple past tense schema is activated during this composition class. There is a play on students' prior knowledge here. Semantic mapping is one such activity which can safely be said to activate existing schemata in a student in relation to a topic.

Semantic mapping is a technique developed by Johnson & Pearson (1978). A semantic map is a graphic arrangement of words and it shows how new words and ideas are related to each other within a text. It is also an effective diagnostic tool. It is not my intention here to advocate semantic mapping but it is felt that a variation of semantic mapping which is given in the lesson plan below will benefit lower level ESL learners in coming to grips with composition writing. The lesson plan below goes one step beyond semantic mapping. Hague (1987) outlines the major steps to semantic mapping as below:

1. Write target topic on chalk board;
2. Have students brainstorm words related to topic;
3. Write/list the words by categories in the form of a map;
4. Have the students provide labels for each category (optional);
5. Discuss the words on the semantic map;
6. Revise map after discussion.

Figure 1 shows a simple semantic map with the categories of Appearance, Where Found, Food Use and Needs of the Cow.
Similarly, Culyer (1978) in his guidelines for skill development suggested developing vocabulary related to a particular topic (e.g. seasons, holidays) that is in thematic terms. As suggested by Fry (1987) in Figure 1, words related to a topic are generated. Thus, a study of "weather" words might include brisk, sultry, squall, alert, high pressure, torrid, tornado, cyclone, barometer, travelers advisor, etc.

How does semantic mapping relate to composition writing? A basic problem voiced by most, especially lower-level, ESL learners is the lack of vocabulary to write good compositions. However, it is felt that lack of vocabulary is not the issue here, but poor activation of existing vocabulary knowledge. Composition topics are normally selected for a class, keeping in mind the grammatical structures and idioms that the students have learnt. Thus it is not in the practice of ESL teachers to assign topics for which students do not have the vocabulary and grammatical structures at all. Therefore, an activating prewriting technique is required here. The very purpose of semantic mapping is to activate known terms in relation to a topic. The present paper considers a further step to semantic mapping leading to composition writing for lower level ESL learners. A slow transition from word mapping to sentence production is suggested within the framework of semantic mapping. A word of caution is in order, however. To conclude my introduction, several assumptions concerning the nature of lexical competence are given here:

Assumption 1:
For many words, we also 'know' the sort of words most likely to be found associated with the word.
Assumption 2:
Richards (1976) says that knowing a word entails knowledge of the network association between that word and other words in language. He goes on to offer eight assumptions that are related to lexical competence which can be used as a frame of reference to realise objectives related to vocabulary teaching. It is felt that the above two assumptions further support the practice of semantic or network mapping.

The Lesson Plan

Step 1
The teacher writes the target topic on the blackboard, for example 'A Bank Robbery'. The teacher has the class brainstorm verbs in the past tense related to the topic. Everyone must contribute. The teacher writes items on the board.

Step 2
Once the verb list has been exhausted, the class brainstorms things and people (nouns) related to the topic. The teacher writes items on the board.

Step 3
Once all or most of the nouns have been elicited and written on the board, brainstorming is done for adjectives.

Step 4
The teacher has a brainstorming session for adverbs related to the topic or to the verbs given on board. Suggested adverbs are written on the board.
Step 5

The teacher goes over the items, asks for any more suggestions and discreetly adds in any new vocabulary which she/he wished students to use in composition or add to their collection.

Step 6

Now students are asked to brainstorm some simple sentences using any of the words in the map. The teacher writes around 15 or 20 sentences on the blackboard. The teacher writes the original sentence given by the student. No correction of errors is made at this stage. Students are encouraged to form simple sentences of the subject + verb + object pattern in order to avoid subordinate clauses at this stage.

Example

1. Three robbers suddenly rushed into the bank;
2. One robber had a gun;
3. There was a car waiting outside;
4. They threatened the customers;
5. The customers were afraid;
6. One robber slashed the cashier;
7. He had a sharp knife;
8. One gunman guarded the door;
9. They shot the security guard;
10. They demanded cash;
11. He put all the money in a bag;
12. They ran quickly out of the bank;
13. They drove off in the car;
14. The manager phoned the police;
15. The police rushed to the bank;

**Step 7**

The teacher has 15 sentences on the blackboard. ShG goes through each sentence to correct any errors.

**Step 8**

The students read through all the sentences. They are given 10-15 minutes to rearrange the jumbled up sentences. Since it is a narration of events, students are given some of the devices used to convey chronological order. They also learn that in a composition of chronological order, the verbs are all in the same tense. The chronological order devices that are given to them include first, second, third, then, next, after that, finally which may be used to clarify sequence to the reader.

**Step 9**

The students write out the whole composition in paragraph form, keeping to the limit of 150-200 words.

**Discussion**

As stated earlier, semantic mapping helps map out a list of words related to topics and themes. The above activity using semantic mapping as a prewriting technique has been found to be successful by the writer in the following ways:

1. There are a lot of words thrown about in class.
2. Everyone comes up with a word somehow or other.
3. The class atmosphere becomes lively and small group discussions are heard.
4. As the teacher writes on the board, some students spell out the words.
5. Even weak and shy students utter a word or two.
6. Towards the end, students become enthusiastic about putting the parts together, like a jigsaw puzzle.
7. They are actively involved in the session because the brainstorming warms them up and the comfort of their chairs and friends around encourages even the very weak and shy to suggest words and sentences.

The teacher is a facilitator here. She writes the words and sentences on the board, and corrects errors at the end. She is also an adviser, giving hints on certain words.

**Some problems do crop up:**
1. Students are always at a loss as regards technical terms like nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs. They may know the items but forget the categories.

2. Since this lesson deals with the linguistic aspect of writing, non-linguistic factors such as organisation, paragraph management and topic sentences may hinder the composition writing process. Here, the teacher is the one who determines the necessary steps to train the students in the non-linguistic aspect, either incidentally or directly.

Follow-up Activities

This lesson is meant to be a prewriting activity. Therefore, the teacher could follow it up with another topic along the same lines for the next composition class. However, she/he should stop at the semantic mapping stage and instruct the students to construct the sentences individually in their notebooks. It is assumed that the previous lesson has provided a base to start their writing, in this case, a narrative or chronological order of writing.

It has been suggested in the earlier paragraph that topics along the same lines should be chosen. The reason is that students remember and learn better as they use the same words again and again. Raimes (1983: p.14) says:-

"... the longer the students grapple with the subject, the more their command of the necessary vocabulary and idioms develops....the more they discuss a topic, the more ideas they develop".

Therefore, to ensure that the students explore the subject as fully as possible, a few excellent topics of interest should be found and whole series of assignments should be built around them. For example, a reading passage, a dictation exercise, role-playing activities, unscrambling jumbled up sentences, a form to fill out, etc.

Conclusion

This technique of teaching composition writing may be more useful for lower-level ESL learners who are still struggling with basic structures in the language. Complaints like lack of ideas and lack of vocabulary may find some practical solutions through this technique. Finally, through this session of brainstorming and semantic mapping, composition writing can become more lively and adventurous.
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